

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
16 September 2004 (16.09.2004)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2004/077945 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **A01N 25/04**,
31/14 // (A01N 25/04, 57:16, 43:80, 43:653, 39:04, 39:02,
37:40, 37:26, 33:18, 31:14) (A01N 31/14, 25:04)

(74) Agents: **SAMA, Daniele** et al.; Sama Patents, Via G.B.
Morgagni, 2, I-20129 Milano (IT).

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/EP2004/001906

(22) International Filing Date: 26 February 2004 (26.02.2004)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(81) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(30) Priority Data:
MI2003A000411 6 March 2003 (06.03.2003) IT

(84) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available*): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(71) Applicant (*for all designated States except US*): **SIPCAM S.P.A.** [IT/IT]; Via Carroccio, 8, I-Milano (IT).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (*for US only*): **BERNARDINI, Marco** [IT/IT]; Viale Mantova, 74, I-26841 Casalpusterlengo (IT). **BORGIO, Francesca** [IT/IT]; Via Costantino, 5, I-20017 Rho (IT). **CAPUZZI, Luigi** [IT/IT]; Via della Noce, 63, I-28100 Novara (IT). **DOMENICHINI, Pietro** [IT/IT]; Via Don Luigi Sturzo, 17/A, I-20078 San Colombano al Lambro (IT). **FRESCHI, Giorgio** [IT/IT]; Via Monte Osero, 5/A, I-29100 Piacenza (IT).

Published:

— with international search report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: PESTICIDES FORMULATIONS

(57) Abstract: Concentrated microemulsions comprising: 1) 10-25 parts by weight of a pesticide with a solubility in water at 20°C lower than 1% by weight and having a melting point from 10°C to 60°C; 2) 8-25 parts by weight of one or more solvents containing oxygen atoms, having a solubility in water at 20°C lower than 5% by weight and the Hildebrand solubility parameter in the range 16 - 21 MPa^{1/2}; 3) 10-20 parts by weight of a polyol; 4) 10-25 parts by weight of one or more non ionic surfactants having a HLB value from 9 to 15; 5) 2-10 parts by weight of one or more anionic surfactants; 6) water up to 100 parts by weight; wherein - the ratio by weight between 2) and 1) ranges from 0.8:1 to 1.5:1; - the ratio by weight between 4)+5) and 1) is in the range 0.5:1-3:1; - the ratio by weight between 4) and 5) ranges from 1:1 to 4:1.



WO 2004/077945 A1

PESTICIDES FORMULATIONS

The present invention relates to pesticides formulations with reduced environmental impact, low toxicity and use thereof.

It is well known that pesticides are marketed under the form of concentrated solutions emulsifiable in water comprising the active ingredient, surfactants and aromatic oil-originated solvents with a particular preference for the naphtha solvent. It is well known that the presence of aromatic solvents involves toxicological risks compelling the producer, in view of the law, to classify the formulation as harmful for the user (Symbol X_n and risk phrase R65: "Harmful: may cause lung damage if swallowed") and for the environment. Therefore even non toxic active ingredients are penalized when they are used in said concentrated solutions. The X_n classification of a formulation, requiring for its use a specific authorization, is a strong limitation in product development.

Stable concentrated formulations of agrochemicals, preferred from the toxicological and environmental point of view, are therefore required. These alternative formulations are therefore an object of primary importance from both the technical and commercial point of view.

Stable concentrated formulations of active ingredients without solvents are known. When the active ingredient has a melting point higher than 60°C, formulations in the form of aqueous suspensions are known. When the active ingredient has a melting point lower than 10°C, formulations under the form of aqueous micro or macro emulsions are known.

When the active ingredient has a melting point in the range 10°C-60°C the obtainment of stable formulations in concentrated aqueous suspension is very difficult. In fact micronization of the active ingredient is required causing its overheating, originating phase transition and recrystallization phenomena that can compromise the long-term stability of the suspension.

The obtainment of formulations under the form of stable

aqueous emulsions or microemulsions of agrochemicals having a melting point in the range 10°C-60°C is particularly difficult since the recrystallization risks according to unstable formulations are very frequent.

Agrochemical emulsions with melting points between 10°C and 60°C prepared by the Applicant resulted unstable even for small temperature variations. As a matter of fact recrystallization phenomena have been observed.

The need was therefore felt to have available concentrated formulations of pesticides having a melting point in the range 10°C-60°C with a reduced environmental impact and low toxicity and stable upon storage without giving recrystallization phenomena, substantially maintaining the same biological activity of the commercial formulations containing aromatic solvents.

The Applicant has surprisingly and unexpectedly found concentrated compositions of crop protection products having a melting point in the range 10°C-60°C solving the above technical problem.

An object of the present invention are concentrated microemulsions stable upon time comprising:

- 1) 10-25 parts by weight, preferably 12-20 parts by weight of a pesticide stable in water having a solubility in water, at 20°C, lower than 1% by weight, having a melting point from 10°C to 60°C;
- 2) 8-25 parts by weight of one or more solvents containing oxygen atoms, having a flash point >60°C, solubility in water at 20°C lower than 5% by weight, the Hildebrand solubility parameter in the range 16-21 MPa^{1/2};
- 3) 10-20 parts by weight, preferably 12-18 parts by weight of a polyol soluble in water at 20°C;
- 4) 10-25 parts by weight, preferably 12-20 parts by weight of one or more non ionic surfactants having a HLB value (hydrophylic/lipophylic balance) from 9 to 15, preferably from 10 to 13;
- 5) 2-10 parts by weight, preferably 4-8 of one or more anionic surfactants;
- 6) 20-40 parts by weight, preferably 25-35 of water;

wherein

- the sum of the amounts of the compounds 1), 2), 3), 4), 5), 6) is 100 parts by weight;
- the ratio by weight between the amount of solvent 2) and of active ingredient 1) ranges from 0.8:1 to 1.5:1;
- the ratio by weight between the sum of the amounts of the surfactants 4) and 5) and the amount of the pesticide 1) is in the range 0.5:1-3:1, preferably 1:1-2:1;
- the ratio by weight between 4) and 5) ranges from 1:1 to 4:1.

Said concentrated microemulsions are stable at room temperature for a very long time, even more than 2 years.

The crop protection product component 1) is selected among herbicides, fungicides and insecticides. In particular as herbicides it can be mentioned:

- herbicides of the class of chloroacetamides or chloroacetanilides as for example:

	Melting point	Solubility
Alachlor	40.5-41.5°C	170.3 mg/l
Propisochlor	21.6°C	184 mg/l
Acetochlor	10.6°C	223 mg/l

- herbicides of the dinitro aniline class as for example:

Pendimethalin	54-58°C	0.3 mg/l
Trifluralin	43-47.5°C	0.4 mg/l

- herbicides of the isoxazolidinone class as for example:

Clomazone	25°C	1.1 g/l
-----------	------	---------

- herbicides of the hydroxybenzonitrile class as for example:

Bromoxynil octanoate	45-46°C	3 mg/l
----------------------	---------	--------

- herbicides of the aryloxyalkanoic acid class as for example:

MCPA-thioethyl	41-42°C	2.3 mg/l
----------------	---------	----------

As fungicides it can be mentioned:

- fungicides of the triazole class as for example:

Tetraconazole	30-35°C	156 mg/l
Penconazole	57.6-60.3°C	73 mg/l

As insecticides it can be mentioned:

- insecticides of the class of non ester pyrethroids as for example:

Etofenprox	36.4-38°C	<1 microg/l
------------	-----------	-------------

- insecticides of the phosphoorganic class as for example:

Chlorpyrifos	42-43.5°C	1.4 mg/l
--------------	-----------	----------

Methidathion	39-40°C	200 mg/l
--------------	---------	----------

The preferred herbicides are selected among Pendimethalin [N-(1-ethylpropyl)-2,6-dinitro-3,4-xylidine C.N. 40487-42-1] e Trifluralin (α,α,α ,-trifluoro-2,6-dinitro-N,N-dipropyl-p-toluidine C.N.1582-09-8).

The preferred insecticide is Etofenprox [2-(4-ethoxyphenyl)-2-methylpropyl 3-phenoxybenzyl ether C.N. 80844-07-1].

As solvents component 2) it can be mentioned:

- acetals as for example dibutoxy methane (butylal);
- alkyl esters of carboxylic acids with the alkyl having C_4-C_{10} ;
- alkyl esters of bicarboxylic acids, as for example dimethyl glutarate, dimethyl succinate, dimethyl adipate or mixtures thereof;
- alcohols as for example isooctanol.

Preferably the solvent is selected from heptyl acetate, dibutoxy methane and the mixture of the dimethyl esters of glutaric, succinic and adipic acid.

With polyols component 3), compounds having at least 2 hydroxyl groups are meant. As polyols ethylene glycol, propylene glycol, glycerol, preferably propylene glycol can be used.

As nonionic surfactants components 4) those having a cloud point higher than 50°C are preferred. It can be mentioned polyethoxylated fat alcohols, polyethoxylated castor oil, polyethoxylated distyrylphenols, polyethoxylated tristyrylphenols, polyethoxylated sorbitan esters, alkyl polyglycosides, polyethoxylated-polypropoxylated aliphatic alcohols. There are preferred polyethoxylated castor oil having an ethoxylation number in the range 15-40, preferably 25-35; polyethoxylated distyrylphenols having an ethoxylation number in the range 12-25, preferably 15-20; polyethoxylated

tristyrylphenols having an ethoxylation number in the range 15-40, preferably 16-25. Generally said products are under the form of mixtures having a different ethoxylation or propoxylation degree.

As anionic surfactants component 5), alkyl benzen sulphonates, alkyl sulphates, polyethoxylated phosphorylated tristyryl phenols, polyethoxylated sulphated tristyryl phenols, preferably dodecyl benzen calcium sulphonate.

The microemulsions of the present invention can optionally contain additives as for example antioxidant agents, UV stabilizers, pH correctors, antimoulding agents and antifoaming agents. The total amount of said additives is generally lower than 2% by weight. Said additives are well known in the prior art.

The process of preparation of the microemulsions of the invention comprises:

- the dissolution of the product component 1), optionally heated to a temperature of 40°C-50°C to liquify it in the solvent component 2) under mild stirring,
- addition under stirring of the surfactants component 4) and 5) and of the polyol component 3) and then of water maintaining the whole under stirring until obtaining the microemulsion, i.e., a macroscopically clear and perfectly homogeneous dispersion.

The said microemulsions consist of particles of less than 200 nanometers size dispersed in an aqueous phase, they have transparent appearance and are stable at -5°C and at 54°C for at least 14 days.

The formulations of the present invention show various advantages from the point of view of the user safety and from the environmental point of view. Due to the nature of the solvents used, the formulations are not flammable and, the agrochemical utilized being equal, they are less irritating and less toxic than the analogous commercial formulations containing an aromatic solvent.

A further object of the present invention is a method of control of agronomically remarkable pests comprising the dilution of the microemulsion in water in amounts in the range

0.05-2.5% by weight. The obtained mixtures are directly sprayed on the crops obtaining a pest control at least equal and sometimes significantly improved compared to analogous treatments carried out at equal doses of active ingredient in commercial formulations containing aromatic solvents.

With respect to the commercial product containing the Etofenprox insecticide and aromatic solvents (Trebon), it has been found that the microemulsions of the invention surprisingly show, the dose of Etofenprox being equal, a higher initial activity.

The concentrated microemulsions of the present invention are stable for more than 2 years when stored at room temperature, they are not toxic and irritating whereby they can be handled without inconveniences, in particular they can subsequently be diluted with water and used in crops without damages for the environment and the animals.

Some illustrative Examples follow, which are not limitative of the present invention.

EXAMPLES

CHARACTERIZATION

- Hildebrand solubility parameter (MPa^{1/2})

It is determined by calculation according to Allan F.M. Barton, in Chemical Review 1975, vol. 76, no. 6, pages 731-753.

- Hydrophylic/lipophylic balance (HLB)

It is measured or calculated according to Journal Society Cosmetic Chemists 1, 311, (1949) by Griffin, W.C.

EXAMPLES 1-9

Preparation of aqueous compositions

A weighed amount of pesticide 1), previously heated to 40-50°C, is added to the solvent 2) contained in a vessel equipped with a stirrer, maintaining under stirring until complete dissolution.

Subsequently, under stirring, at room temperature, the surfactant(s), the propylene glycol and finally water are added in sequence.

As active ingredient ETOFENPROX having a purity of 98% by weight was used.

As solvents 2) there were used: EXXATE 700 (heptyl acetate) marketed by Esso having the Hildebrand solubility parameter of 16.5, BUTYLAL (dibutoxy methane) marketed by LAMBIOTTE having the Hildebrand parameter of 16.2, DBE (mixture containing 55-65% of dimethyl glutarate, 15-25% of dimethyl succinate and 10-25% of dimethyl adipate) by Du Pont having the Hildebrand parameter of 20.2 and isooctanol having the Hildebrand parameter of 21.

As polyol 3) the mono propylene glycol was used.

As nonionic surfactant 4) it was used a mixture of polyethoxylated distyryl and tristyryl phenols with 17 ethoxylation moles (EMULSON AG 17A marketed by Cesalpinia Chemicals), polyethoxylated castor oil with 29 ethoxylation moles (ETOCAS 29 marketed by Croda), polyethoxylated tristyrylphenol with 16 ethoxylation moles (SOPROPHOR BSU marketed by Rhodia).

As anionic surfactant 5) calcium dodecylbenzensulphonate was used.

The amounts by weight of the various components 1), 2), 3), 4), 5) are reported in Table 1.

All the compositions of the Examples from 1 to 9 are microemulsions having the characteristics reported in Table 2. In Table 2 it is reported the microemulsion appearance, the active ingredient titre, the pH determined at a dilution of 1% in water.

Furthermore in Table 2 it is reported the appearance of the emulsions obtained by diluting the microemulsion at 5% in water, and then maintained at 30°C for 2 hours.

The stability of the microemulsions of Table 1 has been evaluated under the following conditions:

- a) at cold, modifying in a more restrictive way the standard test CIPAC MT 39.3 (storage for 7 days at 0°C), evaluating the product after 14 days at -5°C;
- b) at high temperatures, according to the standard test CIPAC MT 46 which requires the storage of the formulation for 14 days at 54°C.

In both cases the microemulsions object of the present invention have maintained unchanged the initial characteri-

stics reported in Table 2.

The above tests are indicative that the so prepared microemulsions are stable under the normal storage conditions for long periods of time and resist even under tropical conditions. The microemulsion of the Example 1, for example, has resulted stable even after 24 months.

TABLE 1

Component	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1) ETOFENPROX (98%)	15.3	15.3	15.3	15.3	10.2	15.3	20.4	15.3	15.3
2) EXXATE 700	-	-	-	-	-	15	20	-	-
BUTYLAL	15	15	15	15	10	-	-	-	-
DBE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	15
ISOCTANOL	7.3	7.3	6.1	-	-	7.3	5.9	-	6.1
MONOPROPYLENE GLYCOL	15	15	15	15	15	15	13	15	15
4) EMULSON AG 17A	15	-	-	20	20	15	10	17	12.5
ETOCAS 29	-	15	12.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOPROPHOR BSU	2.2	2.2	1.9	-	-	2.2	1.8	-	1.9
5) DDBS-Ca	5.4	5.4	4.5	7	7	5.4	4.3	5.5	4.5
6) Water	24.8	24.8	29.7	27.7	37.8	24.8	24.6	32.2	29.7

Table 2

Example No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Microemulsion appearance	limpid	limpid	limpid	limpid	limpid	limpid	limpid	limpid	limpid
Titre (%)	14.8	15.2	15.1	15.0	9.9	15.1	20.2	14.9	15
pH (1% in water)	5.7	8.2	8.1	6.2	6.3	5.9	6.1	5.6	5.9
Appearance after dilution at 5% in water	limpid	milky	milky	limpid	limpid	limpid	limpid	limpid	limpid
Appearance after dilution at 5% in water after 2h at 30°C	limpid	nps	nps	limpid	limpid	limpid	limpid	limpid	limpid

nps = no phase separation

EXAMPLES 10-11 (comparative)

Example 8 was repeated except that instead of DBE solvent the biodiesel solvents (mixture of methyl esters of mainly oleic and linoleic fat acids) having the Hildebrand parameter equal to 14, and N-methyl pyrrolidone having the Hildebrand solubility parameter equal to 23 were respectively used.

No microemulsion was obtained and it was observed the formation of mixtures with rapid tendency to the phase separation.

APPLICATION TESTSField testsEXAMPLE 12

The Example relates to a field test carried out using the formulation of the Example 1 diluted in water at 0.093% by weight to evaluate the agronomical efficacy of the formulation on Leaf Hopper Green (*Empoasca Decipiens*) on grapevine, in comparison with untreated grapevine.

The field test was carried out at Ormelle (TV) Italy on grapevine plants, Pinot Grigio variety, 7 years old, infested by Green Leaf Hopper, grouped in 8 plots of 15 m² each, randomly placed in the vineyard, avoiding zones having borders or unrepeatable characteristics.

The treatment was carried out on four plots with a dose of 140 g/ha of active ingredient Etofenprox corresponding to the label application rate of commercial formulations of Etofenprox.

The agronomical efficacy has been evaluated by counting the number of live insects on 50 leaves, after 15 days after the treatment and comparing it with the number of insects on the untreated plots.

The efficacy is expressed as reduction percentage of the insect number with respect to the number of insects of the untreated plots.

	N° insects after 15 days from the treatment	% efficacy 15 days after the treatment	Phytotoxicity
Composition 1	0	100	0
Untreated	16	0	0

The product object of the present invention results to be non phytotoxic and perfectly effective.

EXAMPLE 13

The Example reported below relates to a field test carried out by using the formulation of the Example 1 diluted in water at 0.112% by weight to evaluate the initial activity of the formulation against aphid *gossypi* on melon, in comparison with melons treated with the commercial formulation Trebon® containing the same insecticide Etofenprox and solvents, the application rate of the insecticide being equal.

The field test was carried out at Erbè (VR) Italy on baggio melon plants infested by aphid *gossypi*, grouped in 12 plots each of 15 m², placed in a randomized way in the field, avoiding border zones or with unrepeatable characteristics.

Four plots were treated with the diluted formulation of the Example 1 and other four plots were treated with the commercial formulation Trebon®, using a dose of 168 g/ha of active ingredient Etofenprox.

In the Table below it is reported the number of live insects for plant leaf of the plots treated with the invention formulation with respect to those treated with Trebon or untreated and the respective agronomical efficacy expressed as reduction percentage of the number of insects with respect to the number of insects of the untreated control plots.

	No.insects after 4 days from the treat- ment	% efficacy after 4 days from the treat- ment	No. insects after 9 days from the treat- ment	% efficacy after 9 days from the treatment	No.insects after 16 days from the treat- ment	% efficacy after 16 days from the treatment
Composition 1	3.77	31	1.53	80	42.10	28
Trebon	4.52	17	1.98	74	31.35	46
Untreated control	5.42	-	7.58	-	58.26	-

The product object of the present invention shows a higher insecticide activity in comparison with the commercial formulation up to 9 days after the treatment.

EXAMPLE 14

The Example reported below relates to a field test carried out by using the formulation of the Example 1 diluted in water at 0.056% by weight to evaluate the initial activity of

the formulation on potato beetle on potato (*leptinotera decunlineata*), primura variety, in comparison with potatoes treated with the commercial formulation Trebon containing the same insecticide Etofenprox and solvents, the application rate of the insecticide being equal.

The field test was carried out at Salerano sul Lambro (LO) Italy on primura potato plants infested by potato beetles, grouped in 12 plots each of 10 m², placed in a randomized way in the field, avoiding border zones or with unrepeatable characteristics.

Four plots were treated with the diluted formulation of the Example 1 and other four plots were treated with the commercial formulation Trebon®, using a dose of 84 g/ha of active ingredient Etofenprox. Said treatment was repeated after 9 days.

In the Table it is reported the average number of live larvae of first age for plot of the plots treated with the invention formulation compared to the plots treated with Trebon or untreated and the respective agronomical efficacy expressed in reduction percentage of the number of live larvae of first age in comparison to the average number of the first age larvae of the untreated plots.

	No. larvae after 1 day from the 1° treatment	% efficacy after 1 day from the 1° treatment	No. larvae after 5 days from the 1° treatment	% efficacy after 5 days from the 1° treatment	No. larvae after 3 days from the 2° treatment	% efficacy after 3 days from the 2° treatment
Composition 1	0.62	95	16.70	52	2.79	84
Trebon	3.55	72	13.08	62	4.34	75
Untreated control	12.58	-	34.56	-	17.14	-

The product object of the present invention shows a higher initial insecticide activity in comparison with the commercial formulation as it results from the values reported in column 2 and in column 6.

EXAMPLE 15

The Example reported below relates to a field test carried out by using the formulation of the Example 1 diluted in water at 0,1% by weight to evaluate its insecticidal efficacy against *Cydia funebrana* (first larval generation) on

plum in comparaisn with plums treated with commercial product Trebon, the application rate of the insecticide being equal.

The field test was carried out at Riolo Terme (RA) - Italy on plums, President variety, planted in 1991, of 2.5 m of height, grouped in 12 plots of 84.4 m² area and having 4 plants per plot. Four plots were treated with the diluted formulation of the Example 1 and other four plots werw treated with the commercial formulation Trebon®, using a dose of 140 g/ha of Etofenprox.

The flight of *Cydia funebrana* adults in the experimental site has been monitored by using pheromones traps, while eggs and their hatch have been directly and periodically checked on fruits.

Treatments of plants started after having found eggs and recording has been done before tha starting of the second generation.

100 random fruits per plot were collected and the number of holes recorded.

In the following Table, percent values of damaged fruits are reported as far as concern :

- composition 1
- commercial product (Trebon®)
- untreated control

	Damaged fruits (%)	Efficacy (%)
Composition 1	1.25	80.29
Commercial product	2.63	57.24
Untreated control	6.50	-

Composition 1 shows better and statistically significant effects compared to untrated control and slightly better result compared to the corresponding commercial product.

CLAIMS

1. Concentrated microemulsions comprising:
 - 1) 10-25 parts by weight, preferably 12-20 parts by weight of a pesticide stable in water having a solubility in water, at 20°C, lower than 1% by weight, having a melting point from 10°C to 60°C;
 - 2) 8-25 parts by weight of one or more solvents containing oxygen atoms, having a flash point >60°C, solubility in water at 20°C lower than 5% by weight, the Hildebrand solubility parameter in the range 16-21 MPa^{1/2};
 - 3) 10-20 parts by weight, preferably 12-18 parts by weight of a polyol soluble in water at 20°C;
 - 4) 10-25 parts by weight, preferably 12-20 parts by weight of one or more nonionic surfactants having a HLB value (hydrophylic/lipophylic balance) from 9 to 15, preferably between 10 and 13;
 - 5) 2-10 parts by weight, preferably 4-8 parts by weight of one or more anionic surfactants;
 - 6) 20-40 parts by weight, preferably 25-35 parts by weight of water;wherein
 - the sum of the amounts of the components 1), 2), 3), 4), 5), 6) is 100 parts by weight;
 - the ratio by weight between the amount of solvent 2) and the active ingredient 1) ranges from 0.8:1 to 1.5:1;
 - the ratio by weight between the sum of the amounts of the surfactants 4) and 5) and the amount of the crop pesticide 1) is in the range 0.5:1-3:1, preferably 1:1-2:1;
 - the ratio by weight between 4) and 5) ranges from 1:1 to 4:1.
2. Microemulsions according to claim 1, wherein the plant protection product 1) is selected among herbicides, fungicides and insecticides.
3. Microemulsions according to claim 2, wherein the herbicides are selected from:

- herbicides of the class of chloroacetamides or chloroacetanilides;
 - herbicides of the dinitro aniline class;
 - herbicides of the isoxaazolidinone class;
 - herbicides of the hydroxybenzonitrile class;
 - herbicides of the aryloxy-alkanoic acid class;
- fungicides are selected from:
- triazole class;
- insecticides are selected from the classes:
- non ester pyrethroid class;
 - phosphoorganic class.
4. Microemulsions according to claim 3 wherein the herbicides of the chloroacetamide or chloroacetanilide classes are selected among Alachlor, Propisochlor, Acetochlor; the herbicides of the dinitro aniline class are selected among Pendimethalin, Trifluralin; the herbicides of the isoxaazolidinone class are selected from Clomazone; the herbicides of the hydroxybenzonitrile class are selected from Bromoxynil octanoate; the herbicides of the class of the aryloxyalkanoic acids are selected from MCPA-thioethyl; the fungicides of the triazole class are selected from Tetraconazole, Penconazole; the insecticide of the non ester pyrethroid class is Etofenprox; the insecticides of the phosphoorganic class are selected from Chlorpyrifos, Methidathion.
5. Microemulsions according to claims 3-4, wherein the plant protection product 1) is selected among N-(1-ethylpropyl)-2,6-dinitro-3,4-xylidine, α,α,α -trifluoro-2,6-dinitro-N,N-dipropyl-p-toluidine and 2-(4-ethoxyphenyl)-2-methylpropyl 3-phenoxybenzyl ether (Etofenprox).
6. Microemulsions according to claims 1-5, wherein the solvents 2) is selected among acetates, alkyl esters of carboxylic acids with the alkyl comprised between C₄-C₁₀; alkyl esters of bicarboxylic acids, or mixtures thereof, alcohols.
7. Microemulsions according to claim 6, wherein the solvent 2) is selected from heptyl acetate, dibutoxy methane and

the dimethyl esters of glutaric, succinic and adipic acid.

8. Microemulsions according to claims 1-7, wherein the polyol 3) is selected from ethylene glycol, propylene glycol, glycerol, preferably propylene glycol.
9. Microemulsions according to claims 1-8, wherein the surfactants 4) are selected from the group formed by polyethoxylated fat alcohols, polyethoxylated castor oil, polyethoxylated distyrylphenols, polyethoxylated tristyrylphenols, polyethoxylated sorbitan esters, alkyl polyglycosides, polyethoxylated-polypropoxylated aliphatic alcohols.
10. Microemulsions according to claims 9, wherein the surfactants 4) are selected from polyethoxylated castor oil having an ethoxylation number in the range 15-40, preferably 25-35; polyethoxylated distyrylphenols having an ethoxylation number in the range 12-25, preferably 15-20; polyethoxylated tristyrylphenols having an ethoxylation number in the range 15-40, preferably 16-25, or mixtures thereof.
11. Microemulsions according to claims 1-10, wherein the anionic surfactants 5) are selected from alkyl benzen sulphonates, alkyl sulphates, polyethoxylated phosphorylated tristyryl phenols, polyethoxylated sulphated tristyryl phenols, preferably dodecyl benzen calcium sulphonate.
12. Microemulsions according to claims 1-11, comprising one or more additives selected among antioxidant agents, UV stabilizers, pH correctors, antimould agents.
13. A process for preparing microemulsions according to claims 1-12 comprising the dissolution of the pesticide 1), optionally heated to a temperature of 40°C-50°C to liquify it, in solvent 2) under mild stirring, the addition under stirring of the surfactants 4), 5) and of the polyol 3) and lastly of the water maintaining the whole under stirring until obtaining a macroscopically limpid and perfectly homogeneous dispersion.
14. Use for the control of agronomically remarkable pests of the microemulsions of claims 1-12, comprising the dilu-

tion of said microemulsions in water, in amounts in the range 0.05-2.5% by weight and directly spraying such mixture on the crops.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/EP2004/001906

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 A01N25/04 A01N31/14 //(A01N25/04,57:16,43:80,43:653,39:04,
39:02,37:40,37:26,33:18,31:14),(A01N31/14,25:04)

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 A01N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 198938 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class A97, AN 1989-273339 XP002279264 & JP 01 197403 A (SHIONOGI & CO LTD), 9 August 1989 (1989-08-09)	1-5,9-14
Y	abstract	6-8
X	EP 0 729 700 A (ISAGRO SPA) 4 September 1996 (1996-09-04) page 2, line 25-40 page 3, line 35-58 page 4, line 14; example B	1-4,8-14
	--- -/--	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- * & * document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

7 May 2004

Date of mailing of the international search report

21/05/2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Klaver, J

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/EP2004/001906

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	WO 02/45507 A (SYNGENTA PARTICIPATIONS AG; DOUGLASS ANDREW (US); FOWLER JEFFREY B) 13 June 2002 (2002-06-13) page 4, paragraph 1 page 5, paragraphs 3,4 page 6, paragraphs 1-3 page 7, paragraph 1 -page 8, paragraph 4 page 10, paragraph 3 page 13, paragraph 3 -page 14, paragraph 1; examples 1-4 ---	1-14
Y	EP 0 533 057 A (HOECHST AG) 24 March 1993 (1993-03-24) column 2, line 12-54 column 4, line 21-32 column 5, line 40-57 ---	1-14
A	US 5 266 590 A (NARAYANAN KOLAZI S) 30 November 1993 (1993-11-30) column 2, line 54-66 column 2, line 37-41; table 1 ---	1-14
A	ALLAN F. M. BARTON: "Solubility parameters" CHEMICAL REVIEWS, vol. 75, no. 6, 1975, pages 731-753, XP009030593 cited in the application table V -----	1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP2004/001906

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
JP 1197403	A	09-08-1989	JP 2676098 B2	12-11-1997
EP 0729700	A	04-09-1996	IT MI950336 A1	23-08-1996
			EP 0729700 A2	04-09-1996
			JP 8337502 A	24-12-1996
			US 5905072 A	18-05-1999
WO 0245507	A	13-06-2002	AU 1606702 A	18-06-2002
			BR 0115918 A	16-09-2003
			CA 2436834 A1	13-06-2002
			CN 1482858 T	17-03-2004
			WO 0245507 A2	13-06-2002
			EP 1347681 A2	01-10-2003
EP 0533057	A	24-03-1993	DE 4135587 A1	06-05-1993
			AT 161389 T	15-01-1998
			AU 660705 B2	06-07-1995
			AU 2353292 A	18-03-1993
			CA 2078092 A1	15-03-1993
			CZ 9202805 A3	16-06-1993
			DE 59209086 D1	05-02-1998
			DK 533057 T3	31-08-1998
			EP 0533057 A1	24-03-1993
			ES 2112284 T3	01-04-1998
			GR 3025954 T3	30-04-1998
			HU 63299 A2	30-08-1993
			JP 5201807 A	10-08-1993
			MX 9205188 A1	01-03-1993
			SK 280592 A3	10-08-1994
			RU 2105475 C1	27-02-1998
			US 5733847 A	31-03-1998
			ZA 9206941 A	28-04-1993
US 5266590	A	30-11-1993	US 5071463 A	10-12-1991
			US 5160528 A	03-11-1992
			US 5156666 A	20-10-1992
			AU 651073 B2	14-07-1994
			AU 6731590 A	18-07-1991
			BR 9007911 A	15-09-1992
			CA 2021886 A1	12-06-1991
			DE 69033682 D1	08-02-2001
			DE 69033682 T2	31-05-2001
			EP 0505378 A1	30-09-1992
			JP 2995087 B2	27-12-1999
			JP 5504556 T	15-07-1993
			US 5389688 A	14-02-1995
			WO 9108665 A1	27-06-1991
			US 5354726 A	11-10-1994
			US 5250499 A	05-10-1993
			US 5283229 A	01-02-1994
			US 5176736 A	05-01-1993
			US 5317042 A	31-05-1994
			US 5326789 A	05-07-1994
			US 5298529 A	29-03-1994
			US 5338762 A	16-08-1994
			AU 656568 B2	09-02-1995
			AU 1419392 A	07-09-1992
			CA 2097707 A1	13-08-1992

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP2004/001906

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5266590	A	DE 69229169 D1	17-06-1999
		DE 69229169 T2	18-11-1999
		EP 0574492 A1	22-12-1993
		JP 3307930 B2	29-07-2002
		JP 6505270 T	16-06-1994
		US 5300529 A	05-04-1994
		WO 9213454 A1	20-08-1992
<hr/>			